Incidence and risk factors for pelvic lymph node metastasis in early-stage endometrial cancer: a retrospective study
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Objective: We aimed to determine the incidence and risk factors of pelvic lymph node metastasis in patients with presumably early-stage endometrial cancer in a hospital in Hong Kong.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed medical records of patients with endometrial cancer confined to the uterus who underwent total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, with or without pelvic lymphadenectomy at Tuen Mun Hospital between 1 January 2011 and 31 December 2015. Patients with gross uterine serosa involvement, extraterine disease, synchronised ovarian cancers, or sarcomatous tumour (adenosarcoma and endometrial stromal sarcoma) were excluded. Pelvic lymph node metastasis is defined as the presence of metastasis in the excised lymph nodes or within 12 months if pelvic lymphadenectomy was not performed.

Results: Of 268 patients (mean age, 54.8 years), 249 (92.8%) had endometrioid or mucinous adenocarcinoma, 14 (5.3%) had serous or clear cell carcinoma, and 5 (1.9%) had carcinosarcoma. Overall, 33 (12.5%) patients had high-grade pathology. 179 (66.8%) patients underwent pelvic lymphadenectomy with a mean of 25.2 (range, 7-85) pelvic lymph nodes removed; 16 of them had pelvic lymph node metastasis. Among the remaining 89 patients with no pelvic lymphadenectomy, 14 had selective lymph node sampling and 2 of them had pelvic lymph node metastasis. The incidence of pelvic lymph node metastasis in our cohort was 6.7% (n=18). In univariate logistic regression, large tumour size, deep myometrial invasion, cervical stromal invasion, and lymphovascular space invasion were significant risk factors of pelvic lymph node metastasis. In multivariate logistic regression, only large tumour size (adjusted OR=9.18, 95% CI=1.12-75.48, p=0.039) and cervical stromal invasion (adjusted OR=5.14, 95% CI=1.72-15.3, p=0.003) were significant independent risk factors.

Conclusion: Large tumour with maximal tumour diameter >2 cm and cervical stromal invasion are independent risk factor for pelvic lymph node metastasis in patients with early-stage endometrial cancer. Pelvic lymphadenectomy may not be necessary in patients with small tumour and absence of cervical involvement, especially when there is no evidence of high-grade pathology or deep myometrial invasion.
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Introduction

Endometrial cancer is the most common gynaecological malignancy in high-income regions including Hong Kong.1,2 The cumulative risk of endometrial cancer up to the age of 75 years was estimated to be 1.6% in high-income regions (1.75% in Hong Kong) and 0.7% in low-income regions.2,3 The increased risk is attributed to the increased rate of obesity in high-income regions.4 Total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy remains the gold standard treatment for most patients with early-stage endometrial cancer confined to the uterus.

The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) has recommended surgical staging since 1988.5 The pelvic lymph nodes are the most common site of extraterine spread of endometrial cancer and metastasis is often clinically occult.6 Pelvic lymph node metastasis is associated with worse outcome in terms of both disease-free and overall survival.7 Pelvic lymphadenectomy was therefore proposed as a staging procedure (by providing prognostic information and stratifying patients for adjuvant therapy) and a potentially therapeutic procedure (by removing metastasis). However, it is associated with significant morbidity such as lymphoedema and lymphocysts in 11% to 38% of cases.8-10 Prospective randomised studies and meta-analysis failed to demonstrate survival benefit of pelvic lymphadenectomy11-13, as did a recent population-based registry study in Germany14. Hence, there is an international trend to reserve pelvic lymphadenectomy for patients with high risk of pelvic lymph node metastasis5,15.
Risk factors for pelvic lymph node metastasis include large tumour size (maximal tumour diameter $>$ 2 cm), high-grade histology (FIGO grade 3 endometrioid adenocarcinoma and non-endometrioid carcinoma), deep myometrial invasion, cervical stromal invasion, and lymphovascular space invasion$^{16-20}$. There is no international or local consensus on treatment$^{21,22}$, although validated protocols have been proposed by institutions such as the Mayo Clinic.

This study aimed to determine the incidence and risk factors of pelvic lymph node metastasis in patients with early-stage endometrial cancer in a hospital in Hong Kong so as to develop a protocol for stratifying patients to undergo lymphadenectomy.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was approved by the New Territories West Cluster Research Ethics Committee (reference number: NTWC/REC/18095). We retrospectively reviewed medical records of patients with endometrial cancer confined to the uterus who underwent total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, with or without pelvic lymphadenectomy, by either laparotomy or laparoscopy, without neoadjuvant treatment in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Tuen Mun Hospital between 1 January 2011 and 31 December 2015. Patients with gross uterine serosa involvement, extrauterine disease, synchronised ovarian cancers, or sarcomatous tumour (adenosarcoma and endometrial stromal sarcoma) were excluded.

All operations were performed by two consultant gynaecologists or under their supervision. Preoperative computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were not routinely performed. Pelvic lymphadenectomy was routinely performed unless in very low risk cases (tumour was grossly limited to endometrium and $<$ 2 cm in maximal diameter, and preoperative biopsy did not yield high-grade pathology) or when the operation was limited by patient factors such as old age, obesity, previous pelvic irradiation, and medical comorbidities. Pelvic lymphadenectomy involved dissection and removal of all lymph node–bearing tissues along the iliac vessels (from the deep circumflex vein to common iliac bifurcation) and in the obturator fossa (anterior to the obturator nerve), between the genitofemoral nerve and iliopsoas muscle laterally and obliterated umbilical artery medially. If pelvic lymphadenectomy was not performed, pelvic lymph node regions were routinely explored and any suspicious lymph nodes were sampled, as were any suspicious para-aortic lymph nodes. Postoperatively, patients were referred to the department of clinical oncology for assessment; adjuvant treatment was given if indicated. Patients were followed up for any recurrence or metastasis every 3 to 4 months in the first 3 years, every 6 months in the fourth and fifth year, and annually from the sixth to the tenth year.

Data collected included age at surgery, menopausal state, parity, body mass index, comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia, polycystic ovarian syndrome), hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer gene mutation carrier status, history of other malignancies or pelvic irradiation, and histopathological variables of the endometrial tumour (maximal tumour dimension, tumour type and grade, depth of myometrial invasion, any cervical stromal invasion, and any lymphovascular space invasion).

FIGO grade 1 and 2 endometrioid adenocarcinoma and mucinous adenocarcinoma are considered low-grade pathology$^{23,24}$, whereas FIGO grade 3 endometrioid adenocarcinoma, serous carcinoma, clear cell carcinoma, and carcinosarcoma were considered high-grade pathology. Pelvic lymph node metastasis is defined as the presence of metastasis in the excised lymph nodes or within 12 months if pelvic lymphadenectomy was not performed.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Windows version 22; IBM Corp, Armonk NY, US). Patients with or without pelvic lymph node metastasis were compared using two-tailed t-test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test or Pearson Chi-squared test for categorical variables. A p value of $<$ 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression models were used to identify risk factors for pelvic lymph node metastasis.

**Results**

Of 268 patients with a mean age of 54.8±9.7 years, 249 (92.8%) had endometrioid or mucinous adenocarcinoma, 14 (5.3%) had serous or clear cell carcinoma, and 5 (1.9%) had carcinosarcoma (Table 1). Overall, 33 (12.5%) patients had high-grade pathology.

179 (66.8%) patients underwent pelvic lymphadenectomy with a mean of 25.2±10.9 (range, 7-85) pelvic lymph nodes removed; 16 of them had pelvic lymph node metastasis. Among the remaining 89 patients with no pelvic lymphadenectomy, 14 had selective lymph node sampling and 2 of them had pelvic lymph node metastasis.
The incidence of pelvic lymph node metastasis in our cohort was 6.7% (n=18). None of the patient without pelvic lymphadenectomy had pelvic lymph node recurrence both in the immediate 12 months and in the entire review period.

Compared with patients without pelvic lymph node metastasis, patients with pelvic lymph node metastasis were more likely to have large tumour size (maximal tumour diameter >2 cm) [94.4% vs 50%, p<0.001], deep myometrial invasion (≥50% myometrial thickness) [55.6% vs 23.6%, p=0.009], cervical stromal invasion (50.0% vs 8.8%, p<0.001), and lymphovascular space invasion (33.3% vs 13.7%, p=0.037) [Table 1]. More (but not significantly) patients with pelvic lymph node metastasis had high-grade pathology (16.7% vs 12.2%, p=0.481).

In univariate logistic regression, high-grade pathology had increased odds of pelvic lymph node metastasis (OR 2.4, 95% CI 1.1-5.0, p=0.03). In multivariate logistic regression, only large tumour size (maximal tumour diameter >2 cm) and lymphovascular space invasion were independently associated with pelvic lymph node metastasis (OR 4.0, 95% CI 1.6-10.0, p=0.004 and OR 2.1, 95% CI 1.0-4.1, p=0.04, respectively).
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Metastasis but not significantly (odds ratio [OR]=1.43, 95% confidence interval [CI]=0.39-5.24, p=0.586). Large tumour size, deep myometrial invasion, cervical stromal invasion, and lymphovascular space invasion were significant risk factors of pelvic lymph node metastasis (Table 2). In multivariate logistic regression, only large tumour size (adjusted OR=9.18, 95% CI=1.12-75.48, p=0.039) and cervical stromal invasion (adjusted OR=5.14, 95% CI=1.72-15.3, p=0.003) were significant independent risk factors.

Discussions

In 1987, the Gynecologic Oncology Group established the role of surgical staging and popularised lymphadenectomy in the treatment of endometrial cancer. In that seminal large-scale prospective study, the incidence of pelvic lymph node metastasis was 9% for all women with presumably early-stage endometrial cancer, 18% for those with high-grade pathology, and 25% for those with deep one-third myometrial invasion, and 34% for those with high-grade pathology with deep one-third myometrial invasion.

Table 2. Incidence of pelvic lymph node metastasis by different pathological variables and predictors of lymph node metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total patients</th>
<th>No. (%) of patients with pelvic lymph node metastasis</th>
<th>Univariate logistic regression</th>
<th>Multivariate logistic regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour types and grade (according to International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>15 (6.5)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3, serous or clear cell carcinoma, or carcinosarcoma</td>
<td>3 (9.1)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.39-5.24)</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal tumour diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2 cm</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 cm</td>
<td>17 (12)</td>
<td>17 (2.23-129.69)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>9.18 (1.12-75.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of myometrial invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>8 (4)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50%</td>
<td>10 (14.5)</td>
<td>4.05 (1.53-10.72)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1.52 (0.51-4.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical stromal invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>9 (3.8)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>9 (29)</td>
<td>10.36 (3.73-28.81)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.14 (1.72-15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphovascular space invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>12 (5.3)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>3.15 (1.11-8.95)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>1.31 (0.42-4.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of the current study and a population-based study by Vargas et al.22 in terms of incidence of pelvic lymph node metastasis by tumour grade and depth of myometrial invasion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth of myometrial invasion</th>
<th>Low-grade pathology</th>
<th>High-grade pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>Vargas et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>6/176 (4.6)</td>
<td>250/11771 (2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50%</td>
<td>7/54 (13.0)</td>
<td>411/3576 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
invasion. In our study, however, the incidence of pelvic lymph metastasis was 6.7% for the entire cohort, 9.1% for those with high-grade pathology, 14.5% for those with deep myometrial invasion, and 17.6% for those with high-grade pathology and deep myometrial invasion. The true incidence of pelvic lymph node metastasis could be underestimated because of the retrospective design of our study. Patients with undiagnosed occult pelvic lymph node metastasis may have undergone adjuvant radiotherapy and did not present as clinical disease. Nonetheless, in a population-based study of the United States Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results registry involving 19,329 women with surgically staged endometrial cancer diagnosed between 1988 and 2010, the incidences of pelvic lymph node metastasis were consistent with those in our cohort (Table 3).

In our study, tumour size >2 cm was an independent risk factor for pelvic lymph node metastasis. Only one patient with tumour size ≤2 cm had pelvic lymph node metastasis. In a cohort of 91 patients with early-stage endometrial cancer, tumour size was independently associated with lymph node metastasis. In a retrospective study involving 328 patients with low-grade endometrial cancer confined to the uterus who underwent surgery with or without pelvic lymphadenectomy and followed up for a median of 88 months, no patient with tumour diameter ≤2 cm and myometrial invasion <50% had positive lymph nodes or died of disease, and thus pelvic lymphadenectomy was deemed unnecessary.

Although the validity of the Mayo criteria was confirmed, assessment of the depth of myometrial invasion by intraoperative frozen section is not available in many institutions. In our unit, intraoperative gross evaluation was used instead. In a meta-analysis of 35 studies, intraoperative frozen section is superior to intraoperative gross evaluation in both sensitivity (85% vs 71%, p=0.0008) and specificity (97% vs 91%, p=0.0021) in determining deep myometrial invasion. Thus, traditionally we performed pelvic lymphadenectomy if intraoperative gross evaluation suggested any degree of myometrial invasion or when tumour size >2 cm. With the introduction of Enhancing Radiological Investigation Services through Collaboration with the Private Sector project (Radi Collaboration) of the Hospital Authority, we have routinely referred patients with endometrial cancer for preoperative MRI of pelvis in the private sector since 2016. A meta-analysis of nine studies showed that MRI had a pooled sensitivity and specificity of both 86% in detecting deep myometrial invasion, which is comparable to intraoperative frozen section. There is no study comparing intraoperative frozen section with MRI yet.

In the Gynecologic Oncology Group study, high-grade pathology is a risk factor for pelvic lymph node metastasis. However, in our study such correlation was not significant. This may be because our cohort had fewer patients with high-grade pathology (12.5%), compared with 20.6% in the registry study by Vargas et al. and 25% in the Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Our study is insufficient to disprove the correlation between high-grade pathology and pelvic lymph node metastasis because of its retrospective nature and absence of pathological re-review of specimens, and large-scale prospective study or population-based registry study is needed to confirm this observation.

Lymphovascular space invasion and cervical involvement have been reported to be independent risk factors for pelvic lymph node metastasis. In our study, only cervical stromal invasion was an independent risk factor for pelvic lymph node metastasis. This is of importance as lymphovascular space invasion can only be assessed postoperatively. For patients with suspected cervical stromal invasion, the current paradigm is to perform total extrafascial ‘simple’ hysterectomy (rather than radical hysterectomy) because of a lack of survival benefits. Pelvic lymphadenectomy remains an important staging procedure for patients with suspected cervical stromal invasion, and adjuvant radiotherapy should be considered especially when pelvic lymphadenectomy is not performed.

The main limitation of this study is its retrospective design, which cannot confirm correlations. A low rate of high-grade pathology is insufficient to disprove its correlation with pelvic lymph node metastasis. Para-aortic lymph node metastasis, late lymph node recurrence, and long-term survival data were not analysed, as were preoperative CA-125 level and MRI tumour volume index, which have been identified as independent risk factors for pelvic lymph node metastasis.

The incidence of endometrial cancer in Hong Kong has increased to 1050 new cases in 2016 from 570 new cases in 2006, but local data on pelvic lymph node metastasis are scarce. Pelvic lymphadenectomy is currently not indicated for small endometrial tumour, unless there is evidence suggestive of cervical involvement, deep myometrial invasion, or high-grade pathology.
Conclusion

Large tumour with maximal tumour diameter >2 cm and cervical stromal invasion are independent risk factors for pelvic lymph node metastasis in patients with early-stage endometrial cancer. Pelvic lymphadenectomy may not be necessary in patients with small tumour and absence of cervical involvement, especially when there is no evidence of high-grade pathology or deep myometrial invasion.
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